

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(XV)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,370	10/17/2003	James A. Otterbeck	03-5001	2237	
7590 01/09/2006			EXAMINER		
Leonard C. Suchyta c/o Christian Andersen Verizon Corporate Services Group Inc.			DOAN, KIET M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
600 Hidden Ric	lge, HQE03H01		2683		
Irving, TX 75	038		DATE MAILED: 01/09/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/688,37	70	OTTERBECK ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kiet Doan		2683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evo tion. r period will apply and wi y statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a repl II expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABAN	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 December 2	005					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 December 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	<b>/</b> -	_		re prosecution as to the	a madte is			
٥)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ologica in accordance with the practice di	nder Ex parte Qu	ayic, 1900 C.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer						
-			ented or h)□ ohi	ected to by the Evamir	nor			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	·	tile Examiner. No	ne the attached t	Since Action of John P				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• •	·	l Ctogo			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th application from the International E			eceived iii tiiis ivationai	Stage			
* 0	See the attached detailed Office action for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • •	Sociand				
	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certi	ned copies not re	eceived.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/688,370

Art Unit: 2683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is response to amendment file on 12/25/2005.

Claims 1, 11, 18, 26 are amended. This action is made FINAL.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims **1, 11, 18, 26** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 11, 18, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Sullivan (US RE38,127 E) in view of Tam (Patent No. 5,526,403).

Consider claim 1, O' Sullivan teaches networks comprising: a hybrid device for enabling telephony communications (Title, C2, L45-51, C5, L37-49, Fig.4, No.68 Illustrate hybrid communication control unit means as hybrid device); a landline connection path between said landline communications network and said hybrid device (C5, L12-25, C6, L1-6, Fig.2, Illustrate landline connection), a wireless connection path between said wireless communications network and said hybrid device (C4, L48-67, C5, L1-11, Fig.1, Illustrate wireless connection); and

a transfer device connected to the landline communications network to transfer one of said telephony communications enabled by said hybrid device from said landline

communications network to said wireless communications network when said switch selects said wireless connection path (C3, L1-4 teach switch/transfer connection either landline to said wireless communications network when said switch selects).

O' Sullivan teaches the limitation of claim as discuss **but fail to teach** an available unit for determining if said landline connection path is available to said hybrid device; a switch to select one of said landline connection path and said wireless connection path for operation of said hybrid device, wherein said wireless connection path is selected at least when said landline connection path is unavailable

In an analogous art, Tam teaches "Wireless interface for cellular telephone".

Further, **Tam teaches** an available unit for determining if said landline connection path is available to said hybrid device; a switch to select one of said landline connection path and said wireless connection path for operation of said hybrid device, wherein said wireless connection path is selected at least when said landline connection path is unavailable (C4, L27-59, C7, L60-67, C8, L1-15, teach the users commands to select/switch landline or wireless).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made that person having ordinary skill in the art to modify O' Sullivan and Tam system, such that a hybrid device for enabling telephony communications landline/wireless connection path between said landline/wireless communications network and switch to select landline or wireless connection, to provide means for the convenient of the users converting/switching direction using hybrid communication device.

Consider **claim 11**, Tam teaches a hybrid device for integrated landline communications and wireless communications, comprising:

a handset (Fig.4, No.11),

a landline microprocessor unit selectively connected between said handset and a landline network, a wireless microprocessor unit selectively connected between said handset and a wireless network; and a switching module to selectively activate one of said landline microprocessor unit and said wireless microprocessor unit, wherein said switching activates said wireless processor unit at least when said landline microprocessor is unable to connect to said handset (C3, L31-48, C4, L27-59, C7, L60-67, C8, L1-15, teach selected/switch between said handset and a wireless network wherein contain microprocessor unit).

Consider **claims 18 and 26**, Tam teaches a method of integrating landline communications and wireless communications, comprising:

receiving an incoming call from a landline network;

determining if a connection is available between said landline network and a user device, wherein said user device is capable of connecting with said landline network and said wireless network; routing said incoming call from said landline network to a wireless network when said connection between said landline network and said user device is not available and when said incoming call is not answered, and transmitting said incoming call to said user device from said wireless network (C6, L35-58 teach incoming call can be select landline or wireless).

Application/Control Number: 10/688,370

Art Unit: 2683

Consider claims 2 and 12, Tam teaches the system of claim 1, wherein said hybrid device comprises: a handset providing a user interface for the hybrid device (Fig.1, No.11); a landline base station connected in said landline connection path between said landline communications network and said handset (Fig.1, No.14), the landline base station routing said telephony communications between said landline communications network and said handset; a cordless microprocessor unit connected in said landline connection path between said landline base station and said handset for receiving and transmitting said telephony communications between said handset and said landline base station (C3, L30-54), and

a wireless microprocessor unit connected in said wireless connection path between said handset and said wireless communications network for receiving and transmitting said telephony communications between said handset and said wireless communications network (C4, L27-59).

Consider **claims 3 and 13**, Tam teaches the system of claim 2, wherein said landline base station comprises a charging station for charging a power source for said handset (Fig.1, No.21 and description).

Consider **claims 4 and 9**, Tam teaches the system of claim 3, wherein said transfer device comprises: a messaging center supporting mail box services for users of the system, a media server managing and storing voice media; a routing platform providing intelligent routing of said telephony communications based on predefined

Application/Control Number: 10/688,370

Art Unit: 2683

rules and policies; and an administrative module managing customer account information for said users (Fig.5, No.124, C5, L1-65).

Consider **claims 5 and 15**, Tam teaches the system of claim 3, wherein said handset comprises at least one of a speaker, a display, a keypad and a microphone (C4, L20-27).

Consider **claims 6 and 16**, teaches the system of claim 5, wherein said handset comprises at least one of a global positioning system tracking module and a web browser (official notice GPS is well know in handset/cellular tracking module and a web browse).

Consider claims **7 and 17**, teaches the system of claim 3, wherein said handset comprises a pager (Fig.1, No.11, Illustrate handset which inherently can be a pager).

Consider **claims 8 and 14**, the system of claim 2, wherein said cordless connection microprocessor unit is a 900 MHz cordless microprocessor unit (Using 900MHz in cordless microprocessor is well know and regulate by FCC).

Claims 10, 19-25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Sullivan (US RE38,127 E) in view of Tam (Patent No. 5,526,403) and further view of Alexis (Pub. No. 2004/0072544).

Consider **claims 10,** O'Sullivan and Tam teach the limitation of claim as discuss but fail to teach the system of claim 1, wherein said landline connection path is integrated with an Internet call managing service; and said switch is activated by a user of said Internet call managing service.

In an analogous art, Alexis teaches ""Communication system and Method".

Further. Alexis teaches the system of claim 1, wherein said landline connection path is integrated with an Internet call managing service; and said switch is activated by a user of said Internet call managing service (Fig.9, Illustrate connection path is integrated with an Internet call managing service).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made that person having ordinary skill in the art to modify O' Sullivan and Tam system, such that landline connection path is integrated with an Internet call managing service; and said switch is activated by a user of said Internet call managing service to provide means for users can access internet through landline connection.

Consider claims 19 and 27, Alexis teaches the method of claim 18, comprising: initiating an outgoing/incoming call from said user device, determining if said connection is available between said landline network and said user device, routing said outgoing/incoming call from said user device to said landline network when said connection is available; and routing said outgoing/incoming call from said user device to said wireless network when said connection between said landline network and said

user device is not available (Page 2, Paragraph [0017], Page 6, Paragraph [0056-0057], page 7, Paragraphs [ 0058-0063]).

Consider claim 20, Alexis teaches the method of claim 18, wherein receiving an incoming call comprises: providing notifications of said incoming call at a landline base station connected to said landline network; and proceeding to determining if said connection is available when a number of said notifications exceeds a predetermined threshold (Page 9, Paragraph [0072])

Consider claim 21, Alexis teaches the method of claim 20, wherein determining if said connection is available comprises: determining if said user device is within a communication range of said landline base station, and transferring said incoming call to a voice message system when said user device is within said communication range (Page 5, Paragraph [0047], Page 11, Paragraph [0085], teach RF circuitry wherein communication within range).

Consider claims 22, 25 and 28, Alexis teaches the method of claim 18, wherein transmitting said incoming call comprises: providing notifications of said incoming call at said user device, and transferring said incoming call to a voice message system when a number of said notifications exceeds a predetermined threshold (Page 6, Paragraphs [0056-0057], Page 7, Paragraph [0063], teach response to an incoming call, and

wherein well know in the art that transferring said incoming call to a voice message when predetermined ring are set).

Consider claim 23, Alexis teaches the method of claim 18, comprising: determining which one of a plurality of user devices said incoming call is directed to; and directing said incoming call to said one of said plurality of user devices (Page 6, Paragraph [0055]).

Consider claim 24, Alexis teaches the method of claim 18, wherein: routing said incoming call from said landline network to a wireless network comprises obtaining caller identification; and transferring said incoming call comprises presenting said caller identification to a user of said user device when said user answers said incoming call (Page 9, Paragraphs [0072-0075]).

Consider claim 27, the method of claim 26, wherein determining if a connection is available comprises determining if said one of said multiple user devices said incoming call is directed to is within a communication range of said landline base station (Page 5, Paragraphs [0047, 0066 and 0085]).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-7863. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kiet Doan

Patent Examiner

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600